

Measure Background

The National Quality Forum (NQF) has issued a [list](#) of 25 events that they termed “serious reportable events,” which are extremely rare medical errors that should never happen to a patient in an ambulatory setting. Often referred to as “never events,” these include errors such as surgery performed on the wrong body part or on the wrong patient, leaving a foreign object inside a patient after surgery, or death resulting from devices or contaminated drugs.

National Action on Never Events

Adverse events in health care are one of the leading causes of death and injury in the United States today. NQF’s list of events is not intended to capture all the adverse events that could possibly occur in ambulatory surgery center facilities. Rather, the list contains events that are of concern to patients, policy makers, and health care professionals and providers. These events are clearly identifiable and measurable (and thus feasible to include in a reporting system) and of a nature such that the risk of occurrence can be reduced by establishing protocols, policies, and procedures within health care organizations.

The Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) and the National Patient Safety Foundation (NPSF) have both released resources developed to help various health care organizations improve the way they investigate medical errors, adverse events, and near misses. AHRQ’s Communication and Optimal Resolution (CANDOR) process and toolkit were developed to assist health care institutions and practitioners to respond in a timely, thorough, and just way when unexpected events cause patient harm.¹ NPSF’s Root Cause Analysis and Action (RCA²) identifies methodologies and techniques that will lead to more effective and efficient use of RCA². Additionally, they provide tools to health care leaders to evaluate RCA² reviews so that significant flaws in individual RCA² reports can be identified and remediated to achieve the ultimate objective of improving patient safety.²

Never events are indeed relatively rare, and Leapfrog recognizes that processes sometimes fail, and human error can occur. Leapfrog wants to recognize ambulatory surgery centers (ASCs) that are willing to

take all the right steps in the rare event that a serious reportable adverse event occurs.

Leapfrog’s Policy on Never Events

Since the inaugural Leapfrog ASC Survey, launched in 2019, Leapfrog has asked ASCs to commit to nine actions if a never event occurs within their ASC: 1) apologize to the patient; 2) report the event; 3) perform a root cause analysis; 4) waive costs directly related to the event; 5) provide a copy of the ASCs policy on never events to patients and payors upon request; 6) interview patients and/or families to gather evidence for the root cause analysis; 7) inform patients and/or families of actions taken to prevent reoccurrence of the event; 8) provide support for caregivers involved in the event; and 9) perform an annual review to ensure compliance with each of these elements for each event.

Each of the nine actions is described in more detail below:

- 1) ASC staff should give a verbal apology and explanation of the known circumstances surrounding the never event to the patient and/or family affected. Research indicates that patients who are victims of adverse events feel most angry if they perceive that no one is taking responsibility for what happened to them. A sincere apology from the responsible staff can help to heal the breach of trust between the doctor/ASC and patient and may reduce the ASCs risk of liability.³
- 2) According to NQF, “the primary reason for identifying a standardized set of serious reportable events that would be mandatorily reported is to facilitate public accountability for the occurrence of these adverse events in the delivery of health care.”⁴ Since the U.S. health care system does not currently have a national reporting program in place, Leapfrog asks ASCs to choose at least one of three reporting options: a national accreditation agency, a state reporting program, or a Patient Safety Organization. Leapfrog asks that the ASC report to its chosen entity within 15 business days of determining a never event occurred.
- 3) Perhaps the most important action for an ASC to take in the aftermath of a never event is to conduct a prompt and thorough root cause analysis (RCA).

An RCA gives the ASC a structured method to learn from its mistakes by identifying the basic or causal factors that underlay the never event and to improve its systems and processes. Many of the reporting programs that Leapfrog endorses have instructions for how to perform an RCA of adverse events that will help to guide the ASC through the necessary steps.

- 4) A patient who is a victim of a never event should not have to pay for it. Therefore, Leapfrog asks ASCs to determine which costs are directly related to the never event and to waive those costs so that the patient and third-party payor do not receive a bill for those costs. Leapfrog understands that specific details of what constitutes “waiving cost” requires the ASC to rigorously examine the individual set of circumstances surrounding the never event; the policy asks the ASC staff to use its best judgment during this examination to protect the patient from inappropriate billing.
- 5) An ASC that implements Leapfrog’s policy on never events agrees to be transparent with key stakeholders on their implementation of this policy. A copy of the policy should be made available to all patients, patients’ families, and payors upon request.
- 6) In other safety-critical industries, event reviews are highly routinized and are one of the most important learning opportunities. Event reviews should involve the patient/family in the interview process of understanding an event (if they are willing and able) because they often are the only people present throughout the entire course of an event.⁵
- 7) When an adverse patient event occurs, patients and their families often express the desire to help protect others from experiencing a similar event. Sharing with the patient and his/her family what steps the organization will take to help prevent the recurrence of similar events helps in rebuilding trust.
- 8) After a never event occurs, caregivers that were involved in the event often experience self-doubt, burnout, and other problems that cause personal anguish and hinder their ability to deliver safe,

compassionate care. ASCs should have programs in place that deliver “psychological first aid and emotional support” to health care professionals following these difficult events. This support should be both nonjudgmental and confidential.⁶

- 9) To ensure that ASCs followed the above principles when a never event occurred in their ASC, ASCs centers should conduct an annual review of their never events and ensure that each of the above principles were followed for each event.

Leapfrog’s Never Events Standard

ASCs achieving the standard have implemented a policy that adheres to all 9 principles of The Leapfrog Group’s Policy Statement on Serious Reportable Events (“Never Events”).

Download the complete Leapfrog ASC Survey scoring algorithms document on the [ASC Scoring and Results webpage](#).

Why Purchasers Need to Get Involved

Using their leverage as purchasers, employers can recognize and reward ASCs that have implemented The Leapfrog Group’s policy on never events. Purchasers and payors can promote dialogue about never events by educating consumers and calling attention to the importance of choosing ASCs with zero or low rates of never events. Purchasers and payors should also implement a no-payment policy for never events. Importantly, purchasers can continue to apply pressure on ASCs to be transparent about incidents of never events, with a focus on prevention and transparency with patients and families.

References

1. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. Communication and Optimal Resolution (CANDOR) Toolkit. Available at: <https://www.ahrq.gov/professionals/quality-patient-safety/patient-safety-resources/resources/candor/introduction.html>
2. National Patient Safety Foundation. RCA2: Improving Root Cause Analyses and Actions to Prevent Harm. Version 2. 2016. Boston, MA.

Available at: <https://psnet.ahrq.gov/issue/rca2-improving-root-cause-analyses-and-actions-prevent-harm>

3. Massachusetts Coalition for the Prevention of Medical Errors. When Things Go Wrong: Responding to Adverse Events. Boston, 2006.
4. NQF, Serious Reportable Events in Healthcare: A Consensus Report, Washington, DC., 2006.
5. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. System-Focused Event Investigation and Analysis Guide. Available at:
<https://www.ahrq.gov/professionals/quality-patient-safety/patient-safety-resources/resources/candor/module4-guide.html>
6. Johns Hopkins Medicine. Caring for the Caregiver. Available at:
<https://www.johnshopkinsolutions.com/solution/ri-se-peer-support-for-caregivers-in-distress/>